CONFERATION OF FACSIMILE

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

POLAROID PATENT DEPT.

Applicants: James D. Redmond, et al.

Serial No.: 09/090,067 Filed: June 3, 1998 Group Art Unit: 3722

Examiner: M. Henderson

#18/22/01 #18/22/01

For: IDENTIFICATION DOCUMENT WITH DOCUMENT SPECIFIC REDUCED SCALE PRINTING

June 22, 2000 Cambridge, Mass. 02139

BOX AF (Non-Fee Amendment)
Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington DC 20231

FAX RECEIVED

JUN L L ZUUI

Group 3700

VIA FACSIMILE FOLLOWED BY U.S. FIRST CLASS MAIL

AMENDMENT UNDER C.F.R. 116 (AFTER FINAL PRACTICE)

Dear Sir:

This is a timely response to the Final Office Action received upon the filing by Applicants of an Amendment (filed Dec 20, 2000) in response to a First Office Action (dated June 20, 2000). The Final Office Action (Paper No. 18) is dated March 27, 2001 with a three month shortened statutory period for response. All rejections in the Final Action are directed to 35 U.S.C. § 103 obviousness rejections over a combination of two references, Craven et. al in view of Merry et al. While Applicants appreciate Examiner's contentions, Applicants do not believe the rejections are entirely correct as they are based on incorrect interpretation of Craven and improper combination with Merry. Arguments are set forth below to traverse the rejections in an effort to quickly move the application towards allowance, or place the issues in better form for Appeal. Claims 1,11,21 have been amended, without prejudice, and do not necessitate a search or raise new issues. To this end, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the arguments and an imminent grant of a Telephone Interview, to place the application in condition for allowance or place the issues in better form for Appeal.

June 22, 2001 Page 2 of 12

The Amendment submitted herewith is compliant with Changes to the Patent Rules, 37 C.F.R. 1.121 effective Mar 1, 2001, and meets the new Amendment practice requiring "clean" claims and "marked-up" claim submissions. Accordingly, the following is attached.

- (1) A clean version of each replacement claim on a separate page with clear instructions for entry
- (2) Remarks and Arguments (37 C.F.R. 111) on a separate page
- (3) Marked-up version of claims on a separate page entitled "Version with markings to show changes made".

LAW and PATENT DIVISION



Polaroid Corporation 784 Memorial Drive Cembridge, MA 02139 781 386 6395 / phone 781 386 6435 / Fax samudri@polaroid.com

Group 3700

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION COVER SHEET

To:

Examiner M. Henderson

Patent and Trademark Office, TC3722

Fax:

(703)305-9835 (703)305-0189

Phone: From:

Lakshmi Samudrala, Esq.

Date:

June 22, 2001

SUBJECT:

RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION DATED 3/27/2001

FORMAL COMMUNICATION UNDER C.F.R. 116

Re:

S/N 09/090,067 filed 06/03/98

Art Unit/Examiner:

3722 / M. Henderson

Applicant:

James D. Redmond et al

Title:

"Identification Document With Document Specific Reduced Scale

Printing"

Atty Dkt:

8371/LS

Number of pages including this sheet: [13] includes Amendment (12pgs including a Cert. Of Facsimile Transmission and Cert. of U.S. First class mailing), Fax Transmittal (1pg).

Comments:

Applicants hereby fax the CFR 1.116 amendment (BOX AF, NO FEE) in response to the Final Rejection dated 27 March, 2001. I request you to please call if any pages in this transmission are missing. The fax transmission is followed by a confirmation VIA certified U.S. first class mail.

I appreciate your kind and prompt attention to this matter.

Sincere Regards,

Lakshmi Samudrala

for POLAROID CORPORATION

LS/den

This message is intended only for the use of the addressee and may contain information that is privileged and confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you receive this communication in error, please notify us immediately and return the original message to the above address via the US Postal Service. Thank you.